Peer Review - Wikipedia Articles

Title of Wikipedia Article:

Author of Wikipedia Article:

Reviewer:

One to three sentence summary of the topic of the article:

Reviewer's level of knowledge/comfort with the topic of the article

What was the state of the article *before revisions*?

Which original shortcomings have been addressed by the Author's revisions?

Is the revised article well-organized? Is it easy to read and follow? Does it raise questions which are not adequately answered?

Is the revised article technically correct and complete? Ask questions/ give examples:

Is the revised article adequately authoritative/ sufficient referencing? Are the references appropriate?

Comment on the writing quality of the revised article. Is it too passive? too informal? Spelling, grammar, punctuation errors?

What are remaining shortcomings of the revised article (recommendations for completing the revised article)?